

## Abstract Evaluation Form

### Wounds Canada 2026 National Conference

Please use this as a guide to enter your marks via the Oxford site.

#### Abstracts will be rejected for any of the following reasons:

- Topic is not relevant to the audience (skin health and/or wound care) – see *poster abstract topics*
- Identical information has been presented extensively elsewhere or has previously been published (full article).
- Use of commercial/brand names or trademarks – abstract content is directed toward product promotion rather than an objective evaluation of wound care programs or product implementation and evaluation.
- Results/Study Findings section is very limited or lacking.

#### General Criteria for Abstracts

- **Title:** Create a title that concisely and accurately portrays the content of the abstract.
- **Aim:** Clearly state the purpose of the work.
- **Procedure/Method:** Describe the procedures/activities or methodology used to conduct your work. Please provide specific information about subject characteristics or healthcare setting where relevant.
- **Findings/Results:** Summarize key findings or important results that you wish to share with colleagues in a clear and concise manner. Where results warrant, provide reasonable conclusions that relate back to the study purpose/objectives.
- **Implications/Applications:** Clearly state how the outcomes and potential applications are pertinent to this audience.

#### Scoring

Abstract Review Committee members will use each of the five specific criteria, using the scale below, to score each abstract:

0 = Criterion missing

1 = Criterion exists but is minimal and unacceptable

2 = Criterion is almost acceptable

3 = Acceptable – adequate handling of the criterion

4 = Exceeds – very good handling of the criterion

5 = Excellent handling of criterion

*(for findings/results the score will be out of 10)*

**Total (out of 30)**

**Abstracts with scores lower than 18 will not be accepted.**

<b>Abstract Number:</b>	
<b>Abstract Title:</b>	
<b>Review Criteria</b>	
<b>Title:</b> The primary aspects of the project, including objectives, are clearly stated in a logical progression.	<b>/5</b>
<b>Aim:</b> The objective(s), are clearly stated in a logical progression. The topic is of importance and interest to the target audience.	<b>/5</b>
<b>Procedure/Method:</b> The materials and methods (what was done or details of the program) are clearly stated.	<b>/5</b>
<b>Findings/Results:</b> The usage or outcomes/findings are clearly stated and any conclusions or claims are warranted. Conclusions are appropriate given the results and limitations of the study design and methodologies.	<b>/10</b>
<b>Implications/Applications:</b> The information in the abstract is current, innovative and supported by procedures carried out in the work.	<b>/5</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>/30</b>
<b>Comments:</b>	
<p><b>Please indicate reasons for abstract rejection</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Topic is not relevant to the audience (skin health and/or wound care) – see poster abstract topics</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> You are aware that Identical information has been presented extensively elsewhere or has previously been published (full article).</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> There is extensive use of commercial/brand names or trademarks – abstract content is directed toward product promotion rather than an objective evaluation of wound care programs or product implementation.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Results/Study Findings are very limited or completely lacking.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Other reasons: Please describe:</li> </ul>	