

Abstract Evaluation Form Wounds Canada 2025 National Conference

Please use this as a guide to enter your marks via the Oxford site.

Abstracts will be rejected for any of the following reasons:

- Topic is not relevant to the audience (skin health and/or wound care) – see poster abstract topics
- Identical information has been presented extensively elsewhere or has previously been published (full article).
- Use of commercial/brand names or trademarks – abstract content is directed toward product promotion rather than an objective evaluation of wound care programs or product implementation and evaluation.
- Results/Study Findings section is very limited or lacking.

General Criteria for Abstracts

- **Title:** Create a title that concisely and accurately portrays the content of the abstract.
- **Aim:** Clearly state the purpose of the work.
- **Procedure/Method:** Describe the procedures/activities or methodology used to conduct your work. Please provide specific information about subject characteristics or healthcare setting where relevant.
- **Findings/Results:** Summarize key findings or important results that you wish to share with colleagues in a clear and concise manner. Where results warrant, provide reasonable conclusions that relate back to the study purpose/objectives.
- **Implications/Applications:** Clearly state how the outcomes and potential applications are pertinent to this audience.

Scoring

Abstract Review Committee members will use each of the five specific criteria, using the scale below, to score each abstract:

0 = Criterion missing

1 = Criterion exists but is minimal and unacceptable

2 = Criterion is almost acceptable

3 = Acceptable – adequate handling of the criterion

4 = Exceeds – very good handling of the criterion

5 = Excellent handling of criterion

(for findings/results the score will be out of 10)

Total (out of 30)

Abstracts with scores lower than 18 will not be accepted.

Abstract Number:	
Abstract Title:	
Review Criteria	
Title: The primary aspects of the project, including objectives, are clearly stated in a logical progression.	/5
Aim: The objective(s), are clearly stated in a logical progression. The topic is of importance and interest to the target audience.	/5
Procedure/Method: The materials and methods (what was done or details of the program) are clearly stated.	/5
Findings/Results: The usage or outcomes/findings are clearly stated and any conclusions or claims are warranted. Conclusions are appropriate given the results and limitations of the study design and methodologies.	/10
Implications/Applications: The information in the abstract is current, innovative and supported by procedures carried out in the work.	/5
Total	/30
Comments:	
<p>Please indicate reasons for abstract rejection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Topic is not relevant to the audience (skin health and/or wound care) – see poster abstract topics <input type="checkbox"/> You are aware that Identical information has been presented extensively elsewhere or has previously been published (full article). <input type="checkbox"/> There is extensive use of commercial/brand names or trademarks – abstract content is directed toward product promotion rather than an objective evaluation of wound care programs or product implementation. <input type="checkbox"/> Results/Study Findings are very limited or completely lacking. <input type="checkbox"/> Other reasons: Please describe: 	